

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/901,950	07/10/2001	Michael Kocheisen	2000-0623	9207		
7590 08/24/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Samuel H. Dworetsky AT&T CORP.			STRANGE,	STRANGE, AARON N		
P.O. Box 4110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Middletown, N.	J 07748-4110	2153				
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/901,9	50	KOCHEISEN ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Aaron Str	-	2153				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	!ss			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION The control of th	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>08 June 2006</i> .						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	,							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	·							
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice (3) Information	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-tration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail		52)			

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 6/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. With regard to claim 1, and Applicant's assertion that the present invention differs from British Telecommunications and Klug since "the user provides at least some of the same information to activate the SpeechMail account (e.g. name, dial-up account name, POP password)" (Page 7 of Remarks), the Examiner respectfully disagrees. As discussed in the Office action of 3/6/06 (at least ¶2), the present language of the claims fails to preclude "at least some of the same information" being provided by the user. The current claim language merely states that registration information initially provided does not have to be provided may still be provided and meet the current claim limitations.

If Applicant wishes to preclude any or all of the previously provided registration information from being provided by the user to activate the auxiliary services, incorporating appropriate limitations into the claims is recommended.

3. With further regard to claim 1, and Applicant's assertion that Klug "has nothing to do with a method of providing enhanced service activation for auxiliary services" (Page 8 of Remarks), the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Klug is clearly directed to activating

related services without requiring a user to input additional registration information (at least CoI 4, Line 59 to CoI 5, Line 25). Applicants assertion that Klug is merely a "central registration site that may be accessed by unrelated websites" is simply untrue. Klug clearly and explicitly states that the websites are affiliated with the registrar website (CoI 4, Lines 59-66). Affiliated websites are clearly not "unrelated".

With regard to Applicant's assertion that "The central registration site disclosed in Klug does not provide a messaging service or in fact, any other service beyond the registration function" (Page 9 of Remarks), it is noted that the rejection of claim 1 was made using a combination of references, and these limitations were are taught by British Telecommunications. Klug was merely cited to teach exchange of registration information between two related websites for the purpose of service activation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. All claims not individually rejected are rejected by virtue of their dependency from the above claims.

Art Unit: 2153

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-5 and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British Telecommunications in view of Klug et al. (US 5,790,785).
- 9. The Office would like to note that the British Telecommunications reference consists of several web pages from the British Telecommunications website, as well as the source code from one of those pages (register[1]). For simplicity in referring to the reference, the web pages have been considered as a single reference, with the pages numbered by the Examiner. Alternatively, the references could be treated individually and applied as a combination of references under 35 USC 103, as the motivation to combine them is apparent since they are all part of the same website.
- 10. With regard to claim 1, British Telecommunications discloses a method for providing enhanced service activation for auxiliary services that provide access to one or more existing messaging accounts (email accounts) belonging to one or more account holders, the auxiliary services being provided by service providers distinct from

Application/Control Number: 09/901,950

Art Unit: 2153

the account providers providing the one or more existing messaging accounts (SpeechMail registration occurs with Vocalis) ("register[1]", Page 4, Lines 5-6), the method comprising:

receiving a request for activation of the one or more auxiliary services from the one or more account holders (SpeechMail registration) (Page 3); and

providing for service activation for the one or more auxiliary services (activate SpeechMail and provide account/access number) (Page 2, Lines 6-13), wherein information related to the existing messaging accounts (account name/password) is utilized for providing for service activation for the one or more auxiliary services.

British Telecommunications fails to specifically recite accessing registration information for the one or more existing messaging accounts from the service provider of the messaging accounts and using that information to register for the auxiliary services. It should be noted that it is extremely likely that British telecommunications shared at least some of their stored registration information with Vocalis during the activation process since the user only provides their name, account login information, and PIN number to Vocalis in order to enable SpeechMail. Any additional information needed is most likely provided by British Telecommunications. However, even if no information was shared, it would have been an advantageous addition to the system disclosed by British Telecommunications to do so, as evidenced by Klug.

Klug teaches a system for storing user account registration information at one location and sharing that information with other service providers upon request of the user, dramatically reducing the number of times that a user must enter registration

information to access various services (at least Col 1, Line 60 to Col 2, Line 19 and Col 4, Line 59 to Col 5, Line 25). By saving the information at the central location, additional services can be activated without requiring redundant input of registration information. This would have been an advantageous addition to the system disclosed by British Telecommunications since it would have allowed the user to activate the SpeechMail service without requiring redundant input of registration data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to access registration information for the one or more existing messaging accounts when activating SpeechMail since it would have eliminated the input of redundant information by the user.

- 11. With regard to claim 2, British Telecommunications further discloses that the one or more auxiliary services is at least telephone access to an existing electronic mail account (Page 1, Lines 8-9).
- 12. With regard to claim 3, British Telecommunications further discloses the one or more auxiliary services is related to access of an existing electronic mail account (telephone access) (Page 1, Lines 8-9).
- 13. With regard to claim 4, British Telecommunications further discloses that prompting for a user selected personal access code for the one or more auxiliary services (PIN for SpeechMail account) (Page 3).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,950

Art Unit: 2153

1,950 Page 7

14. With regard to claim 5, while the system disclosed by British Telecommunications in view of Klug shows substantial features of the claimed invention (discussed above), including providing an auxiliary services selection button (Page 3), it fails to specifically disclose providing the button on an access screen for the one or more existing messaging accounts. However, providing a button on an access screen for the existing messaging account rather than on its own separate page is well known in the art, and would have been a matter of personal preference for the website designer. It would have been advantageous to place the button to activate the auxiliary service on the access screen for the existing messaging account since it would be visible to the user each time they accessed the messaging account. This would make it easier for the user to learn about and activate auxiliary services.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the auxiliary services selection button on the access screen for the massaging account since it would make it easier for the user to learn about and activate auxiliary services

15. With regard to claim 7, which is similar to claim 1 discussed above, British

Telecommunications discloses a method for providing enhanced service activation for a user's electronic mail account, the method comprising:

providing an enhanced service activation selector for an enhanced service related to the electronic mail account (SpeechMail registration) (Page 3);

receiving an activation request via the activation selector for the enhanced service related to the electronic mail account (user submits for to register) (Page 3); and activating the enhanced service related to the electronic mail account (activate SpeechMail and provide account/access number) (Page 2, Lines 6-13), wherein information relating to the electronic mail account (account name/password) is used to activate the enhanced service.

British Telecommunications fails to specifically disclose processing the activation request by accessing activation information that was provided by the user to activate the electronic mail account or using the accessed information to activate the enhanced service so that the activation information does not have to be provided again by the user to activate the enhanced service. It should be noted that it is extremely likely that British telecommunications shared registration information with Vocalis during the activation process since the user only provides their name, account login information, and PIN number to Vocalis in order to enable SpeechMail. Any additional information needed is most likely provided by British Telecommunications. However, even if no information was shared, it would have been an advantageous addition to the system disclosed by British Telecommunications to do so, as evidenced by Klug.

Klug teaches a system for storing user account registration information at one location and sharing that information with other service providers upon request of the user, dramatically reducing the number of times that a user must enter registration information to access various services (at least Col 1, Line 60 to Col 2, Line 19 and Col 4, Line 59 to Col 5, Line 25). By saving the information at the central location, additional

services can be activated without requiring redundant input of registration information.

Page 9

This would have been an advantageous addition to the system disclosed by British

Telecommunications since it would have allowed the user to activate the SpeechMail

service without requiring redundant input of registration data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to access registration information for the one or more

existing messaging accounts when activating SpeechMail since it would have

eliminated the input of redundant information by the user.

16. With regard to claim 8, British Telecommunications further discloses that the

electronic mail account is a Web based electronic mail account (Page 10, Lines 2-4).

17. With regard to claim 9, Klug further discloses that the information related to the

electronic mail account is stored on a network file server (Col 1, Line 62 to Col 2, Line

2).

18. With regard to claim 10, British Telecommunications further discloses that the

enhanced service is telephone access to the electronic mail account (Page 1, Lines 8-

9).

Application/Control Number: 09/901,950

Art Unit: 2153

- 19. With regard to claim 11, British Telecommunications further discloses that the enhanced service is access to the electronic mail account via one or more secondary devices (telephone access) (Page 1, Lines 8-9).
- 20. With regard to claim 12, British Telecommunications discloses an enhanced service registration method for providing user access to a first service provided by a first service provider by one or more other enhanced services provided by one or more other enhanced service providers distinct from the first service provider, the method comprising:

receiving a request for activation of one or more of the other enhanced services (SpeechMail registration) (Page 3); and

activating the one or more other enhanced services (activate SpeechMail and provide account/access number) (Page 2, Lines 6-13).

British Telecommunications fails to specifically disclose accessing the registration information received by the first service so that activation of the one or more enhanced services does not require receipt of registration information by the one or more enhanced service providers from the user to activate the enhanced service. It should be noted that it is extremely likely that British telecommunications stored and shared registration information with Vocalis during the activation process since the user only provides their name, account login information, and PIN number to Vocalis in order to enable SpeechMail. Any additional information needed is most likely provided by British Telecommunications. However, even if no information was shared, it would have been

an advantageous addition to the system disclosed by British Telecommunications to do so, as evidenced by Klug.

Klug teaches a system for storing user account registration information at one location and sharing that information with other service providers upon request of the user, dramatically reducing the number of times that a user must enter registration information to access various services (at least Col 1, Line 60 to Col 2, Line 19 and Col 4, Line 59 to Col 5, Line 25). By saving the information at the central location, additional services can be activated without requiring redundant input of registration information. This would have been an advantageous addition to the system disclosed by British Telecommunications since it would have allowed the user to activate the SpeechMail service without requiring redundant input of registration data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to access registration information for the one or more existing messaging accounts when activating SpeechMail since it would have eliminated the input of redundant information by the user.

21. With regard to claim 13, Klug further discloses that receiving registration information for activation of the first service comprises receiving identifying information from the user (server collects and stores identifying information)(Col 1, Line 62 to Col 2, Line 19).

Art Unit: 2153

22. With regard to claim 14, Klug further discloses that the registration information is stored in a network file server (Col 1, Line 62 to Col 2, Line 2).

- 23. With regard to claim 15, British Telecommunications further discloses that the enhanced services is at least one of telephone access to electronic mail, facsimile access to electronic mail and pager access to electronic mail (telephone access) (Page 1, Lines 8-9).
- 24. With regard to claim 16, British Telecommunications further discloses that the request for activation is received via a selection mechanism provided with the first service (SpeechMail registration) (Page 3).
- 25. With regard to claim 17, British Telecommunications further discloses that the first service is electronic mail and the enhanced service is access to the electronic mail via phone (Page 1, Lines 8-9).
- 26. With regard to claim 18, British Telecommunications further discloses that the only additional input required from the user is a personal identification number (SpeechMail PIN is the only additional input required for SpeechMail activation. All other information is provided when signing up for the dial-up account)(Page 3).

Art Unit: 2153

27. With regard to claim 19, British Telecommunications further discloses that the only additional input required from the user is a password (SpeechMail PIN is the only additional input required for SpeechMail activation. All other information is provided when signing up for the dial-up account)(Page 3).

28. With regard to claim 20, while the system disclosed by British

Telecommunications in view of Klug shows substantial features of the claimed invention
(discussed above), it fails to specifically disclose providing an advertisement one or
more of the enhanced services, wherein selecting the advertisement operates as
request for activation of the one or more of the enhanced services.

However, the use of an advertisement as a link on a web page is well known in the art. Advertisements that can be clicked are commonly used on web sites to direct a user to another location. They are advantageous since they can be images or graphics that are more noticeable than plain text, drawing the attention of a user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an advertisement to notify users of available auxiliary services, and allow users to request auxiliary service activation simply by clicking on the advertisement. This allows the service provider to draw attention to the available service by using a graphical advertisement to notify the user, which may be more noticeable than a simple text link.

Art Unit: 2153

Conclusion

29. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Strange whose telephone number is 571-272-3959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AS 8/18/06

> KRISNA LIM PRIMARY EXAMINER